## Testing parton distribution functions with *W* and *Z* bosons in the ATLAS experiment

Jakub Kremer

December 14, 2018

AGH University of Science and Technology, Kraków, Poland



#### Outline



- Importance of W/Z boson measurements in the context of parton distribution function studies
- ATLAS measurements of *W*/*Z* boson production in *pp* collisions:
  - $\sqrt{s} = 5.02 \text{ TeV}$ 
    - Performance studies
    - Background estimation
    - Results/Comparison to theory
  - $\cdot \sqrt{s} = 13 \text{ TeV}$ 
    - Results/Comparison to theory
  - $\cdot \sqrt{s} = 7 \text{ TeV}$ 
    - Results/Comparison to theory
    - Impact of results on PDFs
- Summary and outlook





- The **factorization theorem** for QCD calculations states that non-perturbative and perturbative parts can be factorized, e.g. into:
  - non-perturbative parton distribution functions (PDFs) which define the initial-state kinematics of the process
  - perturbative **matrix element** which describes the actual hard process
  - non-perturbative fragmentation functions which describe hadronization
- PDFs can be extracted from **global fits** to measurements of different processes.
- PDFs are usually parametrized in the Björken variables x and  $Q^2$ .

#### W and Z boson measurements at LHC energies



- Measurements of weak boson production in *pp* collisions provide a powerful tool to **test both the electroweak theory and underlying QCD dynamics**.
- Dominant production mode at the LHC is through **quark-antiquark annihilation**.
- $\cdot\,$  Contributions from different quark flavours vary with  $\sqrt{s}.$
- Rapidity differential cross-sections are very useful to provide constraints on PDFs.

#### W and Z boson measurements at LHC energies





- Measurements of weak boson production cross-sections are **sensitive to PDFs** over a wide range in x at a fixed  $Q^2$ .
- Example: (*x*, *Q*<sup>2</sup>) coverage of measurements used in fits for the NNPDF3.1 PDF set.
- NNPDF3.1 fit includes measurements of rapidity differential W/Z cross-sections at:

$$\cdot \sqrt{s} = 7$$
 TeV (ATLAS, CMS)

$$\cdot \sqrt{s} = 8 \text{ TeV} (\text{CMS})$$

- This talk presents ATLAS results on *W*/*Z* boson production at:
- NEW ·  $\sqrt{s} = 5.02$  TeV (2015 dataset): arXiv:1810.08424, under review in Eur. Phys. J. C
  - $\sqrt{s} = 7$  TeV: Eur. Phys. J. C 77 (2017) 367
  - √s = 13 TeV (early 2015 dataset): Phys. Lett. B 759 (2016) 601

## ATLAS detector / Datasets



- All presented measurements use **leptonic decay channels**  $(W^{\pm} \rightarrow \ell^{\pm}\nu, Z \rightarrow \ell^{+}\ell^{-}, where \ell = e, \mu)$  to profit from the excellent lepton reconstruction in ATLAS:
  - $\cdot$  Charged particle tracking in  $|\eta| <$  2.5  $\rightarrow$  electrons, muons, MET
  - + Calorimeter system in  $|\eta| <$  4.9  $\rightarrow$  electrons, MET
  - Muon reconstruction in  $|\eta| < 2.4$  (muon spectrometer + inner detector)



#### $W \rightarrow \mu \nu$ candidate event





## W/Z bosons at $\sqrt{s} = 5.02$ TeV

#### **Event selection**



- Events collected with single-lepton triggers ( $p_T^e = 15$  GeV and  $p_T^\mu = 14$  GeV thresholds).
- · Leptons required to pass reconstruction quality and isolation selections.
- Kinematic selections:  $p_T^{e(\mu)} > 25 \text{ GeV}$  (*W* candidates),  $p_T^{e(\mu)} > 20 \text{ GeV}$  (*Z* candidates),  $|\eta_e| < 1.37 \text{ or } 1.52 < |\eta_e| < 2.47$ ,  $|\eta_\mu| < 2.4$

#### W boson candidates:

- Additional selection using missing transverse momentum:  $E_T^{miss} > 25$  GeV, and transverse mass:  $m_T > 40$  GeV.
- Require exactly one good lepton matched to trigger in the event.
- ·  $\sim$ 38000 (44000)  $W^+ \rightarrow e^+ \nu$ ( $W^+ \rightarrow \mu^+ \nu$ ) candidates
- $\cdot \sim$  24000 (27000) W<sup>-</sup>  $\rightarrow e^{-}\nu$ (W<sup>-</sup>  $\rightarrow \mu^{-}\nu$ ) candidates

#### Z boson candidates:

- + Oppositely charged lepton pairs in mass range: 66  $< m_{\ell\ell} <$  116 GeV
- One of the leptons matched to trigger.
- Roughly **4800 (7400)** events with  $Z \rightarrow ee$ ( $Z \rightarrow \mu\mu$ ) candidates found.

- $\cdot\,$  Many dedicated performance studies are crucial to achieve high precision of measurements.
- Data was taken in low-pileup conditions, so the impact on measurements had to be studied.

#### Lepton performance: calibration





- Data was taken in low-pileup conditions, but **standard lepton energy/momentum calibrations are derived for high-pileup datasets**.
- Using the standard electron energy calibration in a low-pileup dataset leads to a shift and widening of the  $Z \rightarrow e^+e^-$  invariant mass peak.
- · Dedicated corrections to the calibration were necessary to improve the agreement.
- · Standard muon momentum calibration works well.
- $\cdot Z \rightarrow \ell^+ \ell^-$  events are used to select a clean sample of leptons for efficiency measurements<sup>10</sup>

#### Lepton performance: efficiencies



- · Any detector inefficiencies need to be corrected for in the measurements.
- Efficiencies are measured with the tag-and-probe method in  $Z \rightarrow \ell^+ \ell^-$  events.
- Precision of efficiency measurements is limited by number of Z boson events in data.
- $\cdot\,$  All electron efficiences are in the range 85–100% and do not vary strongly with  $\eta.$
- Muon reconstruction/identification and isolation efficiences are above 95%, but trigger efficiency is lower with a significant  $\eta$  dependence.

## *E*<sup>miss</sup> calculation: hadronic recoil

- In W and Z boson events the hadronic recoil u<sub>T</sub> provides an estimate of the boson transverse momentum.
- Previous ATLAS measurements used a hadronic recoil reconstruction algorithm hadronic recoil based on calorimetric clusters.
- For this measurement, the algorithm was improved to use Particle Flow
   Objects (PFOs) which reduces pileup dependence and improves resolution.



- PFOs can be split into two categories: **neutral PFOs** consist of a calorimetric cluster, while **charged PFOs** additionally match a charged-particle track.
- The **recoil is reconstructed as the sum over PFOs** in the event, rejecting the charged ones assigned to pileup vertices, and masking signal leptons.
- Missing transverse momentum  $E_T^{miss}$  is calculated using the recoil:

$$ec{E}_{ extsf{T}}^{ extsf{miss}} = -(ec{u}_{ extsf{T}}^{ extsf{PFO}} + ec{p}_{ extsf{T}}^{ extsf{signal lepton}})$$

#### Hadronic recoil: calibration





- The hadronic recoil in simulation needs to be calibrated.
- Corrections to the recoil scale and resolution are derived in  $Z \rightarrow \ell^+ \ell^-$  events as a function of  $p_T^Z$ .
- Calibration improves data/MC agreement in  $Z \rightarrow \ell^+ \ell^-$  events.
- $\cdot$  The derived corrections are then applied to simulated  $W^\pm o \ell^\pm 
  u$  events.

## *W* bosons: multi-jet background estimation





- Multi-jet background category includes semileptonic heavy-flavour decays, pion/kaon decays, photon conversions or misidentified hadrons.
- This background contribution is estimated using **template fits to data** in a phase-space region without  $E_T^{miss}$  and  $m_T$  requirements.
- Fits are repeated using several kinematic distributions ( $p_T^{\ell}$ ,  $E_T^{\text{miss}}$  or  $m_T$ ).
- Multi-jet template is constructed from data with anti-isolated leptons, while templates for signal and other background processes come from MC.

## *W* bosons: multi-jet background estimation





- Choice of isolation region used to define multi-jet template is arbitrary.
- Therefore, yields of multi-jet background are estimated with templates constructed using **different isolation regions**.
- Final multi-jet background yield in the signal region is defined by:
  - linear extrapolation of yields to 0 isolation
  - · taking average of yields obtained using different kinematic distributions



Background	$W^+ \to e^+ \nu \ (W^+ \to \mu^+ \nu)$	$W^- \to e^- \nu \ (W^- \to \mu^- \nu)$	$Z \to e^+ e^- \ (Z \to \mu^+ \mu^-)$
	[%]	[%]	[%]
$Z \to \ell^+ \ell^-,  \ell = e, \mu$	0.1(2.8)	0.2(3.8)	-
$W^{\pm} \to \ell^{\pm} \nu,  \ell = e, \mu$	_	_	$< 0.01 \ (< 0.01)$
$W^{\pm} \to \tau^{\pm} \nu$	1.8 (1.8)	1.8(1.8)	$< 0.01 \ (< 0.01)$
$Z \to \tau^+ \tau^-$	0.1 (0.1)	0.1 (0.1)	0.07 (0.07)
Multi-jet	0.9(0.1)	1.4(0.2)	$< 0.01 \ (< 0.01)$
Top quark	$0.1 - 0.2 \ (0.1 - 0.2)$	$0.1 - 0.2 \ (0.1 - 0.2)$	0.06 (0.08)
Diboson	0.1 (0.1)	0.1 (0.1)	0.14 (0.08)

- All background contributions except for the multi-jet background are estimated from simulation (Powheg+Pythia for *W*/*Z* and top quarks, Sherpa for dibosons).
- $\cdot\,$  Sum of background contributions in W boson samples is between 3 and 6%.
- Largest background contributions to  $W^{\pm} \rightarrow e^{\pm}\nu$  samples come from  $W^{\pm} \rightarrow \tau^{\pm}\nu$  production and the multi-jet background.
- $W^{\pm} \rightarrow \mu^{\pm} \nu$  boson backgrounds are dominated by electroweak processes  $(Z \rightarrow \mu^{+} \mu^{-}, W^{\pm} \rightarrow \tau^{\pm} \nu).$
- Z bosons backgrounds are at the level of 0.3% for both channels.

- *W/Z* boson **production cross-sections** are measured in **fiducial phase-space volumes**:
  - +  $p_{\mathrm{T}}^{\ell}$  > 25 GeV,  $|\eta_{\ell}|$  < 2.5,  $p_{\mathrm{T}}^{\nu}$  > 25 GeV,  $m_{\mathrm{T}}$  > 40 GeV (W bosons)
  - +  $p_{\mathrm{T}}^{\ell}$  > 20 GeV,  $|\eta_{\ell}|$  < 2.5, 66 <  $m_{\ell\ell}$  < 116 GeV (Z bosons)
- Cross-sections are calculated as follows:

$$\sigma_{W^{\pm} \to \ell^{\pm} \nu[Z \to \ell^{+} \ell^{-}]}^{\text{fid}} = \frac{N_{W[Z]} - B_{W[Z]}}{C_{W[Z]} \cdot L_{\text{int}}}$$

- $N_{W[Z]}$  and  $B_{W[Z]}$  are the number of selected events in data and the expected number of background events, respectively.
- *C*<sub>W[Z]</sub> are correction factors evaluated from simulation which account mainly for detector-related inefficiencies.
- $\cdot \, \, {\it L}_{\rm int}$  is the integrated luminosity of the dataset.
- Lepton charge asymmetry defined using differential W boson cross-sections:

$$A_{\ell}(|\eta_{\ell}|) = \frac{\mathrm{d}\sigma_{W^+}/\mathrm{d}|\eta_{\ell}| - \mathrm{d}\sigma_{W^-}/\mathrm{d}|\eta_{\ell}|}{\mathrm{d}\sigma_{W^+}/\mathrm{d}|\eta_{\ell}| + \mathrm{d}\sigma_{W^-}/\mathrm{d}|\eta_{\ell}|}$$



#### electron channels

	$\delta\sigma_{W^+}$ [%]	$\delta\sigma_{W^{-}}$ [%]	$\delta\sigma_Z$ [%]
Trigger efficiency	0.2	0.2	< 0.1
Reconstruction efficiency	0.2	0.2	0.4
Identification efficiency	0.6	0.5	1.0
Isolation efficiency	0.4	0.4	0.6
Electron $p_{\rm T}$ resolution	< 0.1	< 0.1	0.1
Electron $p_{\rm T}$ scale	0.3	0.2	0.1
Hadronic recoil calibration	0.5	0.4	-
Multi-jet background	0.7	0.8	< 0.1
Electroweak+top background	0.1	0.1	< 0.1
Data statistical uncertainty	0.6	0.7	1.4

#### muon channels

	$\delta \sigma_{W^+}$ [%]	$\delta\sigma_{W^{-}}$ [%]	$\delta\sigma_Z$ [%]
Trigger efficiency	1.4	1.4	0.4
Reconstruction efficiency	0.2	0.2	0.4
Isolation efficiency	0.4	0.4	0.7
Muon $p_{\rm T}$ resolution	0.1	< 0.1	< 0.1
Muon $p_{\rm T}$ scale	0.1	0.1	< 0.1
Hadronic recoil calibration	0.5	0.5	_
Multi-jet background	0.1	0.2	< 0.1
Electroweak+top background	0.1	0.2	< 0.1
Data statistical uncertainty	0.5	0.6	1.2



- Lepton- and recoil-related uncertainties are propagated through their impact on correction factors *C*<sub>W[Z]</sub>.
- Largest systematic uncertainties:
  - $W^{\pm} \rightarrow e^{\pm} \nu$ : multi-jet background, identification efficiency
  - $\cdot \ {\it W}^{\pm} \rightarrow \mu^{\pm} \nu$ : trigger efficiency
- For Z boson cross-sections, statistical uncertainties are comparable with systematic ones.
- The most significant source of uncertainty in all channels (not shown in the tables) is luminosity calibration (1.9%).

#### W bosons: channel comparison



- Cross-sections measured in the muon channels are systematically slightly larger than in the electron channels.
- Results from electron and muon channels are combined, accounting for uncertainty **correlations** across channels and measurement bins
- Combination yields:  $\chi^2/\text{DOF} = 19.3/10 \ (W^+), \ \chi^2/\text{DOF} = 15.1/10 \ (W^-)$

#### W bosons: channel comparison





- Lepton charge asymmetry is calculated from cross-sections presented on the previous slide, separately for the electron/muon channels and for the combined results.
- Uncertainties are dominated by the statistical components.
- In general, a relatively **good agreement between the channels** is observed.

#### Z bosons: channel comparison





- Similarly to *W* bosons, the cross-sections measured in the muon channel are systematically slightly larger than in the electron channel.
- Combination yields:  $\chi^2$ /DOF = 3.0/5 (Z),  $\chi^2$ /DOF = 37.5/25 (global)
- In view of the slight but systematic discrepancy between channels, the **uncertainties** on the combined results are scaled such that the global  $\chi^2$ /DOF = 1.



- Theoretical predictions calculated at NNLO accuracy in QCD using an optimised version of DYNNLO 1.5.
- Various PDF sets used to calculate predictions:
  - NNPDF3.1 (includes precise ATLAS  $\sqrt{s} = 7$  TeV W/Z boson measurements)
  - CT14nnlo, MMHT2014, HERAPDF2.0
- **Predictions of integrated fiducial cross-sections agree with data** within uncertainties, but are systematically lower by a few percent (except for HERAPDF2.0).

PDF set	$\sigma^{\rm fid}_{W^+}[{\rm pb}]$	$\sigma^{\rm fid}_{W^-}[{\rm pb}]$	$\sigma_Z^{\rm fid}[{\rm pb}]$	$\sigma_{W^+}^{\rm tot}[{\rm pb}]$	$\sigma_{W^-}^{\rm tot}[{\rm pb}]$	$\sigma_Z^{\rm tot}[{\rm pb}]$
CT14 NNLO	$2203_{-64}^{+62}$	$1379_{-42}^{+34}$	$356^{+8}_{-10}$	$4299_{-113}^{+112}$	$2862_{-77}^{+63}$	$648^{+14}_{-16}$
MMHT2014	$2244_{-39}^{+40}$	$1393^{+24}_{-28}$	$363^{+6}_{-5}$	$4357^{+75}_{-73}$	$2902_{-57}^{+49}$	$660^{+11}_{-10}$
NNPDF3.1	$2186 \pm 45$	$1344\pm29$	$355\pm7$	$4301\pm87$	$2828\pm 62$	$645\pm13$
HERAPDF2.0	$2291^{+92}_{-61}$	$1440_{-27}^{+42}$	$369^{+14}_{-7}$	$4459^{+180}_{-108}$	$3042^{+94}_{-56}$	$675_{-13}^{+24}$
Additional uncertainties						
$\alpha_{\rm S}$	$\pm 17$	$^{+13}_{-11}$	$^{+3}_{-2}$	$^{+31}_{-29}$	$^{+27}_{-22}$	$\pm 5$
$\mu_{\rm\scriptscriptstyle R},\mu_{\rm\scriptscriptstyle F}$ scales	$^{+18}_{-11}$	$^{+11}_{-8}$	$\pm 1$	$^{+25}_{-36}$	$^{+13}_{-15}$	$^{+3}_{-4}$
Data	$2266\pm53$	$1401\pm33$	$374.5\pm8.6$	_	_	

#### W bosons: differential cross-sections





- · Lepton pseudorapidity differential cross-sections measured in fiducial phase-space volume.
- **Predictions (except using HERAPDF 2.0)** systematically tend to **underestimate measured cross-sections**, but deviations are at the level of 1-2*σ*.

#### *W* bosons: lepton charge asymmetry





- Systematic uncertainties, which are partially correlated between  $W^+$  and  $W^-$  boson measurements, are **reduced** to a large extent.
- Good agreement of predictions from all considered PDF sets with measured asymmetry.

#### Z bosons: differential cross-sections





- Rapidity differential cross-sections measured in fiducial phase-space volume.
- At central rapidities

   (|y<sub>ℓℓ</sub>| < 1) all predictions
   tend to underestimate
   measured cross-sections.</li>
- At larger rapidities good agreement with most considered PDF sets.





- Our group at AGH is also working on a **measurement of** *W* **boson production in Pb+Pb collisions** at the same centre-of-mass energy.
- Preliminary results exist already for the muon channel.
- The **measurement in** *pp* **collisions** will serve as an **important reference** to verify if any nuclear modifications are observed in Pb+Pb collisions.

# W/Z bosons at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV

## W/Z bosons: fiducial and total cross-sections



- $\cdot\,$  Similar measurement strategy as for  $\sqrt{s}=5.02$  TeV analysis.
- Fiducial cross-sections (W bosons:  $m_T > 50$  GeV, Z bosons:  $p_T^{\ell} > 25$  GeV) tend to be slightly underestimated by some PDF sets, but no large deviations observed.



• Predictions for total cross-sections follow a similar pattern.



## W/Z bosons: fiducial cross-section ratios





- Ratio of  $W^+/W^-$  fiducial cross-sections is overestimated by most PDF sets.
- Predicted ratios of  $W^{\pm}/Z$  fiducial cross-sections show a better agreement with data.
- Differential cross-sections not measured in this analysis.

# W/Z bosons at $\sqrt{s} = 7$ TeV

#### W bosons: differential cross-sections





- · Similar measurement strategy as for  $\sqrt{s} = 5.02$  TeV analysis (same fiducial phase-space).
- By far the most precise of the presented measurements (sub-percent uncertainties).
- Most PDF sets lead to **predictions** which **deviate systematically** from the measured cross-sections by a few percent.
- ABM12 predictions describe the data best.

#### W bosons: lepton charge asymmetry





- Good agreement of predictions from most considered PDF sets with measured asymmetry.
- Only MMHT2014 tends to underestimate the data for central rapidities ( $|\eta_{\ell}| < 1$ ).

#### Z bosons: differential cross-sections





- For central rapidities

   (|y<sub>ℓℓ</sub>| < 1), measured</li>
   cross-sections are larger
   than all predictions (best
   agreement for HERAPDF 2.0).
- For larger rapidities, the agreement with most considered PDF sets improves.

#### PDF analysis: profiling





- The profiling method allows to estimate the impact of the measured *W*/*Z* boson differential cross-sections on existing PDF sets.
- When including these results, the ratio  $R_s(x) = (s(x) + \bar{s}(x))/(\bar{u}(x) + \bar{d}(x))$  increases significantly.
- This effect comes from an increase of the s quark PDF and a simultaneous slight decrease of  $\bar{u}$  and  $\bar{d}$  PDFs.

#### PDF analysis: global fit





- A global fit of PDFs is also perfomed, taking into account HERA DIS data and the measured *W/Z* boson differential cross-sections.
- For the resulting ATLAS-epWZ16 PDF set,  $R_s$  is evaluated to be close to unity at  $Q^2 = 1.9 \text{ GeV}^2$  and x = 0.023.
- Other PDF sets exhibit significantly lower values, which suggest that the s quark density is suppressed in this kinematic region.

#### Summary and outlook

#### Summary

• ATLAS has performed **high-precision measurements of** *W***/***Z* **boson production** using LHC Run 1 ( $\sqrt{s} = 7$  TeV) and Run 2 ( $\sqrt{s} = 5.02$ , 13 TeV) data.

#### arXiv:1810.08424

- Many **dedicated perfomance studies** were **necessary** to achieve a sub-percent or few-percent level precision.
- Similar observations made at all collision energies: fiducial cross-sections predicted using various PDF sets tend to deviate slightly from data.
- The effect is most prominent for differential Z boson cross-sections in  $|y_{\ell\ell}| < 1$ .
- The measurement at  $\sqrt{s} = 7$  TeV includes a **re-analysis of PDFs** showing that the **s quark contribution is underestimated** by most popular PDF sets.

#### Outlook

- A much larger dataset at  $\sqrt{s} = 5.02 \text{ TeV}$  ( $\sim 260 \text{ pb}^{-1}$ ) and additional low-pileup datasets at  $\sqrt{s} = 13 \text{ TeV}$  ( $\sim 340 \text{ pb}^{-1}$ ) are currently being analysed.
- Full high-pileup  $\sqrt{s} = 13$  TeV dataset ( $\sim 140 \text{ fb}^{-1}$ ) is also planned to be analysed.
- $\cdot \,$  Very precise  $\mathit{W/Z}$  boson measurements from ATLAS to come!





## Additional slides



#### CMS *W* boson measurement at $\sqrt{s} = 8$ TeV

